10 R. v. Jack Judgment

Line and Peterborough County Road 23. He believes he came to a complete stop. In all the other evidence there is no evidence in any way, shape or form, relating to the stop sign at the 14th Line and County Road 23, other than an acknowledgement that no one saw it or was looking at it.

He turned left on County Road 23, as did the other two officers. He testified that he looked and there were at that time no northbound motor vehicles. There were two southbound vehicles, both cruisers, and a vehicle well north of the 14th Line, which was southbound.

He testified that the southbound motor vehicles were about 100 metres at his best guess. He turned onto Peterborough County Road 23 and merged into the southbound lane. He noticed no motor vehicle southbound take any evasive actions as a result of his turn. He could not estimate how far Sergeant Payne was ahead of him. Maybe 100 metres, but not closer. He continued to the detachment and the sergeant advised him that he was to be charged.

He was never told of his situation prior to his arriving at the detachment. Eventually he was dismissed. He didn't believe he failed to yield the right-of-way to oncoming traffic. After training for driving, and now it was not clear in the evidence to me, whether this was driver training at that time or prior to that time. Again, nothing really hinges on it, but he did testify that on that driver training sponsored by

30

10

15

20

25